

2018 Speech Meeting Agenda - One-Act Play Program March 13, 2018 – Pierre

1. Review One-Act Play Reports
 - a. Review the participation report from the February 2018 State Festival
2. Dates for 2018-19 One-Act Play contests:
 - a. First Allowable One-Act Play Competition: January 7, 2019
 - b. Class “B” & Class “A” Region Contests - January 14-17 & January 21-24, 2019
 - c. State Festival – January 31-February 2, 2019 at Northern State University
 - d. 2020 State Festival – February 6-8, 2020 at Sioux Falls O’Gorman
3. Review One-Act Play regulations (***scheduled for 9:45am***)
 - a. Review Article 6, Section 1 of the One-Act Play Handbook regarding selection of plays
4. Review regions for class A and B One-Act Play state qualifying contests.
5. Report on copyright compliance when using copyrighted music in One-Act Plays
6. Other topics the committee members or schools wish to discuss
7. Choose 2018 prompt for Technical Theatre Contest – 2018’s theme was *Macbeth*
8. Selection of judges for 2019 – review submitted “Call for Judges” forms

2018 Speech Meeting Agenda – Debate and Individual Events March 13, 2018 - Pierre

1. Review minutes from March 7, 2017 meeting
2. Dates for the 2018-19 Debate & Individual Events program
 - a. First Allowable Debate & Individual Events Competition - October 29, 2018
 - b. State Debate & I.E. Tournament - March 1-2, 2019 at Sioux Falls O’Gorman HS
3. Dates and site for the 2020 State Debate Tournament - March 6-7, 2020 at Huron
4. Discuss NSDA Big Questions Debate and determine how to classify this style of debate within SDHSAA Debate
5. Consider utilizing NFL or NSDA Extemp topics for State Debate rather than requiring coaches to submit topics
6. Consider rule change proposal from Lennox High School:
 - “1. Each school that enters a team(s) in policy debate must provide one judge for each team entered who (is) are available to judge all policy. This does not need to be a head coach.*
 - 2. Each school that enter(s) (a) LD deater(s) must provide one judge for each LD debater entered who is available to judge all LD. One may be the same as one of the policy judges, but not both. *Note teams that provide more judges than needed results in fewer rounds for those judges.*
 - 3. 1 to 2 Public Forum teams: a team must provide 1 person who can judge public forum and list them on tournament registration.”*
7. Consider seeding proposal from Roosevelt High School (see Appendix A)
8. Consider proposals from Aberdeen Central High School (see Appendix B)
9. Review State Debate Schedule
10. Discuss adding penalty for being on script in Oratory
11. Review Instructions for Judges listed in the Debate Handbook.
12. Consider adding rules for evidence
13. Determine time for releasing brackets and incorporate into the Handbook
14. Review Timekeeper rules for Oratory
15. Review rules regarding rankings in IE
16. Other items the committee would like to consider

APPENDIX A

S.D.H.S.A.A. State Tournament Qualification Proposal

In order to gain admittance to the S.D.H.S.A.A. State Debate Tournament, individual entries representing AA schools must meet one of the following conditions:

- 1) A Lincoln-Douglas entry must have earned 2 bids throughout the current debate season.
- 2) A Public Forum entry must have a combined total of 4 bids throughout the current debate season, with each debater contributing at least one bid.
- 3) A Policy entry must have a combined total of 4 bids throughout the current debate season, with each debater contributing at least one bid.
- 4) Each team is also allowed one additional entry to any of the three debate events.

As an example, a school has 2 LD debaters who have each earned 2 bids, and 3 Public Forum debate partnerships who have each 4 bids, they would be allowed to enter 6 teams.

All qualifying bid tournaments must meet the following conditions:

- 1) The tournament must be a sanctioned tournament that is hosted within the S.D.H.S.A.A. debate season.
- 2) The tournament, except for the N.S.D.A. District Tournament, must be open for all debate squads to enter the tournament. For example, the Dak 12 or Central Forensics Conference tournaments are not eligible for awarding bids.
- 3) The qualifying event must be varsity-level competition.
- 4) There must be a minimum of 8 schools competing in the tournament.
- 5) There must be a minimum of 3 schools competing in the qualifying event.
- 6) There must be a minimum of 4 rounds of competition for the qualifying event.
- 7) From rounds 3 and beyond, tournaments, to the best of their ability, must pair the tournament in a manner in which entries with similar records compete against one another with byes going to entries with the fewest number of wins.
- 8) All N.S.D.A. District tournaments are automatically granted qualifying status.

In order to earn a bid at a tournament that meets the above qualifications, teams must earn the following records:

- 1) For tournaments that host 4 rounds of competition – entries must earn a record of 3-1 or 4-0. Lincoln-Douglas entries that successfully earn this record will receive one bid. Public Forum and Policy entries will receive two bids – with one bid being awarded to each partner.
- 2) For tournaments that host 5 rounds of competition – entries must earn a record of 4-1 or 5-0. Lincoln-Douglas entries that successfully earn this record will receive one bid. Public Forum and Policy entries will receive two bids – with one bid being awarded to each partner.
- 3) For tournaments that host 6 rounds of competition – entries must earn a record of 4-2, 5-1, or 6-0. Lincoln-Douglas entries that successfully earn this record will receive one bid. Public Forum and Policy entries will receive two bids – with one bid being awarded to each partner.

- 4) For tournaments that host 7 rounds of competition – entries must earn a record of 5-2, 6-1, or 7-0. Lincoln-Douglas entries that successfully earn this record will receive one bid. Public Forum and Policy entries will receive two bids – with one bid being awarded to each partner.
- 5) All entries who qualify to the N.S.D.A. National Tournament are automatically granted 2 bids (for Lincoln-Douglas entries) or are given 4 bids (2 for each partnership in Public Forum or Policy).
- 6) Teams that reach round 6 of the N.S.D.A. District Qualifying tournament, but do not qualify to the National Tournament, are given one bid (for Lincoln-Douglas entries) or are given two bids (2 for each partnership in Public Forum or Policy).

APPENDIX B

- Speech advisory committee finds 23 qualified judges to judge. District chairs find over 100 judges to judge our district tournament. (I had over 59 people hired)
- A public list of judges is either sent or published where the Speech Advisory Committee can see or all coaches can see who is a possible hire. They can then send feedback or have the ability to strike the hiring of a judge.
- Remove the requirement and let people continue to complain.

Other Issues:

- Deadlines- my entries needed to be in before we even had our qualifier. I can understand back in the day when everything was on cards- now it is just download and pair. **The deadline should be 48 hours before the start of state debate for everything.** This does not affect judge numbers because we had to fill out intent to participate form.
- **Oratory:** I recommend that coaches just need to have a copy of the oratory ready if a protest is filed. The oratories are no longer taped and if I was going to protest I would need to do so within 20 minutes of the round finishing. If not, I can no longer protest. There is no proof of what happened in the round because the lack of recordings and if an audience member did record that is in violation of a different rule. This limits one less hassle for the debate coach and one less thing for Brooks to check.
- Change the coach rule to state head coaches are required to be available to judge any event that they have students entered in.
- **Coaches judging early rounds.**
 - I will gladly judge the first 3 rounds of an event. Some of our hired judges were getting upwards to 17 rounds- with little break in between. Debate is a mental strain because it requires me to pay close attention for 1.5 hours in a policy debate for example. A 30 second zone out can cost a team a round. I recommend using head coaches or people associated with a team in those early rounds.
 - I can do little prep at the time because the turnaround time is too fast and I have not seen anything new. Instead I spent my time walking the hallway complaining about the 3 speaking skills judges I had in the back of the room.
 - I would rather judge early when all my kids are in and I have no time to prep. Day 2- is when I need my coaches and state hired judges would be great to use.

State Debate and Open Internet-

- Because of nature of debate is changing- I would recommend that the host site **MUST** have guest internet available and it must actually work.
 - First, having internet gives the home school an advantage.
 - Second- it gives schools that have hot spots an advantage as well.

Seeding:

- State debate has the largest policy entry of any tournament this year. The average policy entry at a tournament was 16 varsity teams and that is the high end. Several teams were competing in policy for the first time EVER. Imagine All State Band with half the tuba section is composed of kids who NEVER

played a tuba before. I support a system that allows for seeding of policy teams only for next year to see how it works. I would only want in-state tournaments to count towards seeding.

Inform:

- Should be added to the state debate tournament as an event. It is not an oral interp event- just like original oratory is not an interp event. It is fundamentally different from what is required in an oral interp piece. I will not support a move that was proposed last year to put in in the oral interp season.

Ms. Harms

- Just wanted to say she is a boss at running a tournament. The tournament runs smooth and under control. Pay her more.

2018 Speech Meeting Agenda – Oral Interp.

1. Dates Scheduled for 2018
 - a. Class “B” District Contest – October 29 – November 1 & November 5–8, 2018
 - b. Class “A” & “B” Region Contests November 12 – 14 & November 19 – 21, 2018
 - c. 2018-2019 State OI Festival November 30-December 1, 2018 – Watertown
2. 2019-2020 State OI Festival December 6-7, 2019 – Yankton
3. New items to be considered by the committee
 - a. Consider increase in district region chairperson stipend (currently at \$2 per entry)
 - b. Consider creating a standardized fee for all Interp judges at district and region level
 - c. Review point system on State Interp rubric.
 - d. Discuss the use of a rubric for district and region competition
4. Storytelling theme selection – 2017’s theme was *Mystery/Thriller*
5. Selection of judges for 2018 – review submitted “Call for Judges” forms
6. Other topics the committee wishes to discuss
7. Discuss nominations for the 2018-19 NFHS Speech, Debate, and Theatre Outstanding Educator
8. Set date for next year’s meeting.
9. Adjournment